1 2	Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539) Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862) Sandra West (SBN 250389)				
3	Samantha H. Knox (SBN 254427) Micah G. Block (SBN 270712)				
4	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP 1600 El Camino Real				
5	Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: (650) 752-2000				
6	Facsimile: (650) 752-2111				
7	Jonathan D. Martin (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Bradley R. Hansen (<i>pro hac vice</i>) DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP				
8	450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017				
9	Telephone: (212) 450-4000 Facsimile: (212) 701-5800				
10	Attorneys for Defendants				
11	Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.), Chi Mei Optoelectronic	S			
12	ŪSA, Inc., and CMŌ Japan Co., Ltd.				
13	INITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT			
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
		YO OO THEFTOTON			
	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION			
16	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION) CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711			
16 17	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)				
16 17 18	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION) CASE NO. 3:11-ev-711) MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI)			
16 17	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney) CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711			
16 17 18 19	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General,	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General, Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General, Plaintiffs, v.	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General, Plaintiffs, v. AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General, Plaintiffs, v. AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General, Plaintiffs, v. AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-711 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General, Plaintiffs, v. AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711 MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO			

The undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, hereby respectfully request an extension of the deadline for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd. (collectively, the "Chi Mei Defendants"), and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the "Hitachi Defendants"), to respond to the amended complaint filed by Plaintiff State of New York on March 15, 2011, in the above-captioned litigation (the "Amended Complaint").

WHEREAS the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, jointly with other Defendants in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on May 5, 2011;

WHEREAS the Court entered an order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' joint motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;

WHEREAS on August 25, 2011, the Court entered an order extending Defendants' deadline to answer the Amended Complaint until September 14, 2011;

WHEREAS Plaintiff State of New York and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants have agreed to a settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation subject to board approval;

WHEREAS the parties would benefit from additional time to continue to negotiate the terms of that settlement;

WHEREAS further extending the time for the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants to answer the Amended Complaint would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already fixed by the Court;

THEREFORE, Plaintiff State of New York and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, by their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

The Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants will have until October 25, 2011 to answer the Amended Complaint.

1			
2	Dated:	September 13, 2011	Respectfully submitted,
			ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
3			Attorney General of the State of New York
4			
5			By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz
6			Richard L. Schwartz
7			Richard L. Schwartz*
$\ $			Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau
8			John A. Ioannou*
9			Assistant Attorney General 120 Broadway, 26th Floor
$\prod_{i=1}^{n}$			New York, New York 10271
$0 \parallel$			(212) 416-8282 (voice)
1			(212) 416-6015 (fax)
$_{2}\parallel$			Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov
3			Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York
4			* Automatic <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission Pursuant to
T			Pretrial Order No. 1, Dated July 3, 2007 (Waiving
5			Civil L.R. 11-3)
5			
7			DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
8			
9			By: /s/ Sandra West
0			Sandra West (SBN 250389)
"			Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
1			Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)
$_{2}\parallel$			Sandra West (SBN 250389)
_			1600 El Camino Real
3			Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 752-2000 / (650) 752-2111
,			chris.hockett@davispolk.com
4			neal.potischman@davispolk.com
5			sandra.west@davispolk.com
6			Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux
<u>,</u>			Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.),
7			Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan
8			Co., Ltd.
_		ON AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE	2

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3:11-cv-711; MDL NO. 1827

	Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3566 Filed09/14/11 Page4 of 6
1	MODCANI LEWIS & DOCKILIS LID
2	MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
3	Du /a/Vont M. Dogov
4	By: /s/ Kent M. Roger Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)
5	Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987) One Market, Spear Street Tower
6	San Francisco, California 94105
7	Telephone: (415) 442-1001 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001
8	Attorney for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi
9	Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
40	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT
	CASE No. 3:11-ev-711; MDL No. 1827

Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all parties whose signatures are indicated by a "confirmed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document. Dated: September 13, 2011 Sandra West (SBN 250389)

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3566 Filed09/14/11 Page5 of 6

	[PROPOSED] ORDER					
Having co	Having considered the foregoing stipulation, and for good cause appearing,					
IT IS SO	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
Dated:	9/13	, 2011	Juran Delator			
 -	7, 13		The Honorable Susan Illston United States District Judge			
			Officed States District stage			
			5			

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3566 Filed09/14/11 Page6 of 6